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นิทรรศด้านอัน
รูปแบบและความแตกต่างของการสึกที่ผนังส่วนบนของ Acetabulum ข้างปกติและข้างผ่าตัดเปรียบเทียบระหว่างการผ่าตัดด้วยการใส่ข้อสะโพกเทียมแบบเบ้าหนึ่งชั้นและสองชั้นในผู้ป่วยที่กระดูกแตกหักบริเวณสะโพก
สุรัตน์ ส่งวิรุฬห์, พบ, มนูญ เลียวเสวนาเศรษฐ์, พบ
อุบัติการณ์ของภาวะวิตกกังวลและซึมเศร้าในผู้ป่วยบาดเจ็บกระดูกสันหลัง ณ ศูนย์ผู้บาดเจ็บกระดูกสันหลัง โรงพยาบาลศิริราช
ศรีนวล ขวี, พบ, นภชนก สุขประเสริฐ, ศศ.ม., ชลเวช ขวี, พบ

รายงานผู้ป่วย
รายงานผู้ป่วยภาวะหัวไหล่หลุดหลังร่วมกับกระดูก greater tuberosity หักในข้างเดียวกัน
ศุภมงคล มัชมี, พบ, วันจักร พงษ์สมัครไทย, พบ
กรณีศึกษาผู้ป่วยหลอดเลือดดำขาอุดตันเนื่องจากการกดทับของกระดูกภายหลังการผ่าตัด Posterior lumbar interbody fusion โดยวิธีไม่ต้องผ่าตัดเดี่ยว
ธนิตา ปัญญาอมรวัฒน์, พบ, จองฮี ลี, คยุงชุง คัง, ซึงจุน ชิน, คีทัก คิม, วอนจู ชิน

คำแนะนำสำหรับผู้ส่งบทความเพื่อพิมพ์
Editorial

The year 2018 is passing very quickly. The JRCOST is about to launch Volume 42, number 1-2 for January-April, 2018. In the change of Orthopaedic training curriculum according to WFME, we hope residents should have their publications more often and being compulsory for every trainee. Apart from residents, we are also trained many fellows in various subspecialties. They should begin with JRCOST and progress to high impact journal for the next step. Nowadays, the medical students are also trying harder to extend their portfolios with publications, and get the well support from all medical schools. We hope the brighter future with our young generation.

In this issue, we have 2 research articles which are “Patterns and Differences of Acetabular Dome Wear of Normal and Operated Hip Joints between the Unipolar and Bipolar Prostheses in the Femoral Neck Fracture Surgery” and “The incidence of Anxiety and Depression in Patients with Spinal Injury at Siriraj Spinal Unit”. We also published 2 case reports. They are “A Case Report of Posterior Shoulder Dislocation with Greater Tuberosity Fracture” and “Anterior Extrusion of Bone Graft after Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion with development Deep Vein Thrombosis: Case Report and Literature review”. All of our articles are welcome for comment. Please write a letter to editor. Hope to hear from you.

Pongsak Yuktanandana, MD
Editor, The Thai Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery
Patterns and Differences of Acetabular Dome Wear of Normal and Operated Hip Joints between the Unipolar and Bipolar Prostheses in the Femoral Neck Fracture Surgery

Surat Songviroon, MD, Manoon Leownorasate, MD

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Maharat Nakhonratchasima Hospital, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand

Purpose: To describe the patterns and differences of wear at acetabular dome wall of the normal and the operated hip joints between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses in the femoral neck fracture surgery.

Patients and Methods: The medical records and radiographic imagings of femoral neck fracture patients who were treated with the unipolar or bipolar prostheses at Maharat Nakhon Ratchasima Hospital since July 2010 to September 2015 were reviewed. The acetabular dome wall thickness (ADWT) was measured on true anteroposterior view of both sides of hip joints at the 1st day and every 6 months after surgery. The measurements were designed with various methods for minimizing the deviation and error and detail would be shown in text below. The patterns and differences of wear at acetabular dome wall between operated side and normal side were described. The patient demographic data and the wear at acetabular dome wall were compared between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: All 152 patients were classified into 83 with unipolar and 69 with bipolar prostheses groups. The wear pattern at acetabular dome wall on the normal side was not significantly different in both groups. After sex, ADWT on the operated side at 1 day after surgery and age groups adjusted, the wear differences between two groups were statistically significant at 6, 12, 24, and 30 months after surgery with mean differences of 0.307, 0.609, 0.825, and 2.460, respectively.

Conclusion: The wear patterns at acetabular dome wall in femoral neck fracture surgery were different between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses. They were statistically significant only at 6, 12, 24, 30 months after surgery.

Keywords: Wear at acetabular dome wall, Unipolar prosthesis, Bipolar prosthesis, Femoral neck fracture
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Introduction

Unipolar and bipolar prostheses are instruments which have been widely used in hemiarthroplasty surgical procedure, for treating the femoral neck fractures in older patients. There are many documents demonstrating that bipolar hemiarthroplasty will reduce the forces that transmit to acetabular cartilage surface and decrease wear at acetabulum wall as compared with unipolar hemiarthroplasty[1,2]. And some researchers show that the unipolar hemiarthroplasty group has wear rate at acetabulum wall more than the bipolar hemiarthroplasty group[3,5]. But both prosthesis designs have equally hip functional outcome, so in the older patients, the unipolar hemiarthroplasty should be preferred due to its lower cost[1,6].

Most researchers stated about acetabular erosion rate of the patients who received surgery as well as the revision rate from protrusion of acetabulum[2,11,14,17]. Nevertheless, no study has shown the information about the pattern and differences of wear at acetabular dome between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses after the femoral neck fracture surgery in any interval of follow up and no study shows how to measure the wear of acetabular dome wall, either.

The purpose of this study is to demonstrate the patterns and differences of acetabular dome wear between the unipolar and the bipolar prostheses in the femoral neck fracture surgery, reference with the contralateral intact acetabular dome wall thickness in different times of follow up after surgery.

Patients and Methods

The medical records of patients who were admitted at Maharat Nakhon Ratchasima Hospital with femoral neck fractures and surgically treated with the unipolar or the bipolar prostheses between July 2010 and September 2015 were collected from the hospital’s database. The radiographic imagings of both hips in anteroposterior view (AP) were
concurrently reviewed. The radiographic imagings which were not clearly sharp, blurred, not in true AP view of which coccyges were not in the mid to pubic symphysis or lacked of follow up imagings at 6 months after surgery were excluded. The measurements were done preoperatively on both sides of hip joints. Postoperative measurements were done on day 1 and every 6 months after surgery on both normal and operated sides. All imagings were magnified at full screen before measurement to decrease the errors. Acetabular dome wall thickness was measured from tip of femoral head prosthesis directly to the center of the acetabular dome in millimeters with two decimal points (Figure 1). The films were measured in the first round and left for 1 week before starting to measure them again in the second round with blindness in results of the first round and were done in the same manner in the third round with blindness in results of the first and second rounds also. All patients’ profile and measurement data were collected for statistical analysis.

![Fig.1 Acetabular dome wall thickness measurement on radiography](image)

**Results**

One hundred and fifty-two patients were included, 83 were allocated into the unipolar prosthesis group and the rest (69) were in the bipolar group. The mean age was 82.35 years in the unipolar group which was higher than 73.14 years of the bipolar group with statistical significance (P < 0.001). But when they were grouped according to age group: less than 75, 75-84 and ≥ 85 years, there were no differences between two groups. All measurements were performed by the first author only for the avoidance of the inter-observer variation of the measurement. The follow up periods were 6 and 36 months after surgery in both groups.

Table 1 showed demographic data that the unipolar and bipolar groups had no statistically significant differences in sex, underlying diseases: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, ASA Classification and radiographic imaging for acetabular dome wall thickness at the normal and operated sides at 1 day after surgery.

**Data analysis**

The frequency distributions of demographic characteristics and medical histories of the unipolar and bipolar prostheses groups were expressed as means and standard deviation for continuous variables and counts and percentages for categorical variables. The Fisher’s exact test and Student T test were used to analyze the bivariate differences for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. To estimate differences of acetabular dome wear between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses in femoral neck fracture surgery, linear regression procedures were performed to estimate the mean differences and confidence intervals (95 % CI) after adjusted for potential confounding factors. All reported p-values were two tailed, and confidence intervals were calculated at the 95 % level.

On the normal side of the unipolar group, there was loss of acetabular dome wall thickness firstly at 24 months after surgery with little wear at 30 months. At 36 months after surgery, the pattern showed that they looked like no further wear but some data were lost during follow up. This may disturb the conclusion of the direction of wear at acetabular dome wall. For the bipolar group, the pattern of wear at acetabular dome wall appeared in every follow-up until 36 months after surgery with a slower rate compared with the unipolar group.

On the operated side, patterns of wear at acetabular dome wall both in the unipolar and bipolar groups looked similar. The loss firstly started at the 30 months after surgery with a slightly slower rate of loss at 18 months. The pattern at 36 months showed that they looked like no further loss but the amount of data were less than those in the earlier follow-up which might disturb the results for concluding the direction of wear at acetabular dome wall. The severity of wear in the bipolar group was less than in the unipolar group.

After sex, ADWT on the operated side at 1 day after surgery and age groups were adjusted, comparison between the unipolar and bipolar groups as described in table 2, there were statistically significant differences at 6, 12, 24, 30 months after surgery with 95 % CI but no statistically significant differences at 18 and 36 months.
**Table 1** Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Unipolar Prosthesis (n=83)</th>
<th>Bipolar Prosthesis (n=69)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>69 (83.13)</td>
<td>58 (84.06)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 75</td>
<td>6 (7.2)</td>
<td>31 (44.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>45 (54.2)</td>
<td>32 (46.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥85</td>
<td>32 (38.6)</td>
<td>6 (8.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>33 (39.8)</td>
<td>30 (43.5)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>10 (12.0)</td>
<td>14 (20.3)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>5 (6.0)</td>
<td>4 (5.8)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA Classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 1</td>
<td>5 (6.0)</td>
<td>8 (11.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 2</td>
<td>32 (38.6)</td>
<td>35 (50.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 3</td>
<td>43 (51.8)</td>
<td>26 (37.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class 4</td>
<td>3 (3.6)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADWT on normal side*</td>
<td>12.76 (2.55)</td>
<td>12.71 (1.75)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADWT on operated side*</td>
<td>10.57 (2.54)</td>
<td>10.40 (2.14)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADWT = acetabular dome wall thickness

* on day 1 after surgery

**Table 2** Wear differences between the unipolar and the bipolar groups at acetabular dome wall in each follow up time after surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration after surgery (Months)</th>
<th>Mean wear difference* (mm.)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.043, 0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.308, 1.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>-0.110, 1.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.091, 1.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.460</td>
<td>0.420, 4.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>-1.519, 2.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:* – adjust for sex, ADWT on operated side at 1 day after surgery and age groups

**Fig.2** Patterns of wear at acetabular dome wall on normal side
Discussion

The mean age in the unipolar group was higher than that in the bipolar group with statistical significance ($P$-value$<0.001$) but when the patients were classified into subgroups for age: $<75$, $75-84$ and $\geq 85$ years, there were no statistically significant differences between the unipolar and bipolar groups. This may explain why many researchers show good or better results in the patients who undergo the surgery using the bipolar prosthesis compared with the unipolar groups especially in the aspect of the acetabular erosion rate and the revision rate which are higher in the latter group.$^{[1-7]}$. Most of orthopedic surgeons prefer using the bipolar prosthesis in the younger patients who may live longer with no complications while the unipolar prosthesis that has lower cost is preferably chosen for the older patients who may not live longer.

Dalldorf et al.$^{(8)}$ showed no statistically significant difference in the cartilage wear between the unipolar and the bipolar components. According to them, the severity of the degeneration correlated directly with the duration of articulation of the implant with the acetabulum and the loss of radiographic joint space closely correlated with the deterioration of the histological grade of the acetabular cartilage.

Kanto$^{(9)}$ analyzed radiographs in 147 patients; 72 in the bipolar and 75 in the unipolar groups, at the initial post-operation and one year after operation. The rate of acetabular erosion was measured and found that early protrusion was equal in both groups. Khan et al.$^{(10)}$ found two patients who were symptomatic with acetabular protrusion occurring at a mean of 5.6 years after the procedure.

In the unipolar group, the wear pattern at acetabular dome wall on normal side was firstly recognized at 24 months after surgery but less wear at 30 months.

Comparing with the bipolar group, there were no statistically significant differences at any follow up duration after surgery. These results showed that no statistical significant differences in the patterns and the severity of the wear at acetabular dome wall on normal sides after surgery at least about 30 months. Data after 30 months should be further collected to evaluate the pattern and the severity of the wear at acetabular dome wall in the long run.

On the operated side, the wear pattern at acetabular dome wall both in the unipolar and bipolar groups looked similar, viz., the loss firstly started at the 30 months after surgery with a slightly slower rate of loss at 18 months. The wear pattern at 36 months showed that they looked like no further loss. However because of the inadequate amount of data in longer follow up period, it might disturb the conclusion of the direction of the wear at acetabular dome wall. In the bipolar group, the
pattern of wear looked nearly similar to those of the unipolar group but had less degree of the wear.

It was found that the longer time after the operation, the wear at the acetabular dome wall was more severe. However the mean differences of the wear had slightly slower rate at 18 and 36 months without statistical significance. At 30 months after surgery, mean difference of the wear was 2.46 millimeters which was very striking. If the data after 30 months could be collected with adequate amount, there would be more information to be analyzed and the trend of the wear’s pattern and mean differences of the wear could be summarized.

When our data were interpreted, there were several points being considered. First, there were some patients who lost to follow up due to many reasons such as the unaffordable fee for transportation to the hospital, the difficulty in travel from remote rural area to the hospital, the unavailable time of caregivers to transfer the patients on the follow up day, etc. The tracking data at each visit of follow up, 6-36 months in the unipolar prosthesis group were 64, 27, 20, 16, 10 and 5 whereas in the bipolar prosthesis group were 61, 39, 13, 12, 4 and 4.

All patients who received surgery should avoid the weight bearing on ambulation after surgery for some time interval, depending on the situation of the patients and the opinion of the surgeons. If the duration of time for avoidance of the weight bearing of the patients can be extended to at least 6-12 months after surgery, there may be some benefit to lessen the severity of the wear in acetabular dome wall on the operated side. This should be verified in the further study. And it is true, it will be helpful to change the clinical practice in the future.

So far there has never been study showing the information about the patterns and differences of the wear at acetabular dome wall thickness comparing between the unipolar and bipolar prostheses after the femoral neck fracture surgery in each period of time like this study before. The more proper design to allocate patients, the longer time of follow up, a large number of radiographic imagings from the patients may be helpful to clarify this topic.

**Conclusion**

The wear pattern at acetabular dome wall in the femoral neck fracture surgery was different between the unipolar and the bipolar prostheses. The wear differences were statistically significant only at 6, 12, 24, 30 months after surgery.
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วิธีการ: เราจะรวมข้อมูลที่ได้จากการทดลองของผู้ที่ได้รับการผ่าตัดด้วยการใส่ข้อสะโพกเทียมแบบบุ้มหนึ่งชั้นและสองชั้น และฐานข้อมูลภาพเอกซเรย์ที่โรงพยาบาลนครราชสีมาระหว่างเดือนกรกฎาคม พ.ศ. 2010 ถึงเดือนกันยายน พ.ศ. 2015 จำนวน 152 ราย ได้รับการรักษาผ่านการวัดความหนาที่ส่วนบนสุดของ Acetabulum ผ่านแบบหูฟังการทำลายอย่างในกรณีควบคุมแบบเบื้องต้น白领และพื้นที่ที่ไม่มีความแตกต่างอย่างมีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติ วันหลังผ่าตัดและอายุ 6 เดือนหลังผ่าตัด

ผลการศึกษา: มีผู้ที่ผ่าตัดใช้ข้อสะโพกเทียมแบบบุ้มหนึ่งชั้น 83 ราย และแบบสองชั้น 69 ราย รูปแบบการสึกของนั่งส่วนบนสุดของ Acetabulum ข้างปกติไม่พบความแตกต่างอย่างมีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติที่กลุ่มที่ผ่าตัดด้วยข้อสะโพกเทียมแบบบุ้มหนึ่งชั้นและสองชั้น เมื่อที่ที่มีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติที่ 6, 12, 24, 30 เดือนหลังผ่าตัดที่ 0.307, 0.609, 0.825 และ 2.460 ตามลำดับ ในขณะที่ไม่มีความแตกต่างอย่างมีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติที่ 18 และ 36 เดือนหลังผ่าตัดที่ 0.542 และ 0.219 ตามลำดับ

สรุป: รูปแบบของการสึกที่นั่งส่วนบนสุดของ Acetabulum เปรียบเทียบระหว่างการผ่าตัดด้วยการใส่ข้อสะโพกเทียมแบบบุ้มหนึ่งชั้นและสองชั้น ในผู้ป่วยที่มีกระดูกแตกหักบริเวณสะโพก พบไม่มีความแตกต่างอย่างมีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติในข้างที่ผ่าตัด ค่าเฉลีความแตกต่างของการสึกมีความแตกต่างอย่างมีนัยสําคัญทางสถิติที่ระยะเวลาก 6, 12, 24, 30 เดือนภายหลังผ่าตัด
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The incidence of Anxiety and Depression in Patients with Spinal Injury at Siriraj Spinal Unit
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Objective: To investigate the incidence of anxiety and depression among patients with spinal injury admitted to the Siriraj Spinal Unit, and to compare depression and anxiety scores between admission and discharge. We also evaluated for factors that significantly associate with unimproved anxiety and depression score.

Methods: This prospective study included patients with spinal injury that were admitted to the Siriraj Spinal Unit of Siriraj Hospital during December 2013 to August 2017. Presence and level of anxiety and depression were assessed using Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Thai HADS). The following data were collected: age, gender, education level, marital status, occupation, diagnosis, level of impairment, injury severity, cause of injury, and length of stay.

Results: Ninety patients were included, with a mean age of 44.4±16.7 years. There were 68.9% males and 31.1% females. The incidence of anxiety and depression on the day of admission was 8.9% and 7.8%, respectively, with decreases to 4.4% and 5.6% before discharge (Table 2). Mean HADS score for anxiety decreased from 6.1±3.2 to 3.2±2.9, and for depression from 5.1±3.4 to 3.0±3.1. In multivariate analysis, age and marital status were significantly associated with unimproved depression score (P=0.003 and P=0.025, respectively). No evaluated factors were significantly associated with unimproved anxiety score.

Conclusion: The incidence of anxiety and depression decreased from 8.6% and 7.8% to 4.4% and 5.6%, respectively, compared between admission and discharge. Age and marital status were significantly associated with unimproved depression score, while no factors were able to significantly predict unimproved anxiety score.
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Introduction
Patients with spinal cord injury suffer acute and catastrophic change in physical condition and ability that can lead to anxiety, despair, hopelessness, insecurity, uncertainty, pessimism, low self-esteem, and depression[1,2]. These emotional outcomes of spinal injury can also lead to poor cooperation with rehabilitation and occupational therapy during admission. Wattanapan, et al.[3] reported a prevalence of depression in spinal injury patients of 5.6% using Thai HADS. Many studies from other countries reported a rate of 13% for anxiety and 16% for depression[4]. Carvalho, et al.[5] found that 60% of patients had depression after spinal injury when tested with the Symptom-Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R) questionnaire. The prevalence of anxiety was 10-12% in a study from Royle, et al.[6].

The quality of medical care and rehabilitation also affects the level of anxiety and depression in this patient population. Mehaidat, et al.[7] conducted a study in paraplegia patients and found 28.2% anxiety and 17.4% depression during acute care, which decreased significantly to 18.1% and 11.5%, respectively, after rehabilitation. Kuptniratsaikul, et al.[8] reported a prevalence of anxiety and depression after the injury of 18.2% and 13.6%, respectively, which decreased to 13.6% and 2.3% after receiving medical care, though both changes failed to achieve statistical significance due to the small size of the study population.

The Siriraj Spinal Unit was the first spine-specific center for treating spinal trauma in Southeast Asia, with a multidisciplinary team that provides international standard quality medical and rehabilitation care. However, the incidence of anxiety and depression among spinal injured patients treated at the Siriraj Spinal Unit is not known.
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Accordingly, the aim of this study was to investigate the incidence of anxiety and depression among patients with spinal injury who were admitted to the Siriraj Spinal Unit, and to compare depression and anxiety scores between admission and discharge. We also evaluated for factors that significantly associate with unimproved anxiety and depression score.

Methods

Participants

This prospective study included patients with spinal injury that were admitted to the Siriraj Spinal Unit of Siriraj Hospital during the 19 December 2013 to 28 August 2017 study period. Siriraj Hospital is Thailand’s largest national tertiary referral center. Patients that satisfied all of the following criteria were included: (1) age more than 18 years during admission; (2) having good consciousness; and, (3) having good ability and willingness to answer the HADS questionnaire. Patients meeting one or more of the following were excluded: (1) history of diagnosed psychological diseases, such as schizophrenia, depressive disorder, and/or anxiety before being admitted to the Siriraj Spinal Unit; and, (2) history of underlying disease(s) that adversely affect the thinking process or the emotions, such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, cerebrovascular disease, head injury, HIV infection, epilepsy, and/or substance use (e.g., alcoholism, illegal or prescription drug abuse, inhalants).

Assessment instrument

Presence and level of anxiety and depression were assessed using the Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Thai HADS). Thai HADS is a widely used and reliable tool for screening for clinical anxiety and depression. There are seven items for each of the two parameters, and each item is scored using a 4 point Likert scale (0-3), with a 0 indicating the lowest level of agreement and a 3 indicating the highest level of agreement. The highest achievable number of points is 21 for both anxiety and depression. Persons scoring 0 to 7 are regarded as non-cases, 8-10 are regarded as possible cases, and 11-21 are considered probable cases. In this study, patients scoring more than 7 on either scale were considered as having anxiety and/or depression.

Procedure

After receiving study approval from the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (SIRB), Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand (COA no. 637/2556, EC4), written informed consent was obtained from all enrolled study participants. The following data were collected from patient medical records: age, gender, education level, marital status, occupation, diagnosis, level of impairment, severity of injury, cause of injury, and length of stay. Patient level of anxiety and depression was assessed using Thai HADS at both admission and at discharge.

Statistical analysis

Sample size calculated by using the prevalence of anxiety and depression after the injury from Kuptniratsaikul, et al.’s (10) study which was 18.2% with the allowable error of 0.08%, two-sided level of significance (alpha error) was set of 5%. The estimated sample size was at least 90 patients. All data analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 18 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Demographic data were interpreted using descriptive statistics. Data are reported as number and percentage or mean ± standard deviation. HADS scores between admission and discharge were compared using paired t-test. Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for multivariate risk factor analysis. A p-value less than 0.05 was regarded as being statistically significant.

Results

Ninety patients were included in this study. The mean age of patients was 44.4±16.7 years (range: 18-76), with a gender distribution of 68.9% males and 31.1% females. Just over half of patients (52.2%) were married. Most patients had at least a secondary school education (31.1%) and worked as an employee (35.6%). The most common cause of injury was falls (50%). The proportion of paraplegia and tetraplegia was equal (34.4%), and most of those patients sustained incomplete spinal cord injury (46.7%). The mean length of stay was 34.3±25.2 days (range: 10-146) (Table 1).

The incidence of anxiety and depression on the day of admission was 8.9% and 7.8%, respectively, with decreases to 4.4% and 5.6% before discharge (Table 2). Mean HADS score for anxiety decreased from 6.1±3.2 to 3.2±2.9, and for depression from 5.1±3.4 to 3.0±3.1.

Nineteen patients (21.1%) had unimproved anxiety score, and 29 patients (32.2%) had unimproved depression score. In multivariate analysis, age and marital status were found to be significantly associated with unimproved depression score ($P=0.003$ and $P=0.025$, respectively). No evaluated factors were significantly associated with unimproved anxiety score. Almost half (47.6%) of patients aged less than 45 years had unimproved depression score, while that proportion was only 18.7% of patients in the ≥ 45 year age group. The proportion of patients with unimproved depression score was higher in single patients (44.2%) than in married patients (21.0%).
Discussion

Thai HADS is a widely used and reliable measure for screening the probable presence and severity of clinical anxiety and depression in Thai patients admitted in the hospital. Thai HADS was shown to have a high sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of these disorders. In this study, the incidence of anxiety and depression, which was defined as patients with a score $> 7$ from self-reporting via the Thai HADS questionnaire, was 8.9% and 7.8% at admission. This finding was similar to the 5.6% prevalence of depression among spinal injury patients using Thai HADS reported by Watanapan, et al. However, studies from the UK and Western Europe reported much higher levels of anxiety (19-35%) and depression (17-27%) among spinal injury patients, and these studies also used the HADS assessment tool. This disparity in the prevalence of anxiety and depression among studies may be attributed to Thai cultural mores, which include living with and the importance of extended family, which provides adequate social support, and if a family member becomes the primary caregiver, the patient tends to have better quality of life. A 2010 study reported lack of social support, such as good social relationships and help from family, to be a risk factor for depression in patients with spinal cord injury.

The incidence of anxiety and depression in this study decreased when compared between admission and discharge (Table 2), which was consistent with the findings of an earlier study by Mehaidat, et al. that found that the presence of anxiety and depression in paralyzed patients in Jordan decreased significantly at the end of the rehabilitation program (28.2% to 18.1% for anxiety, and 17.4% to 11.5% for depression). Kuptniratsaikul, et al. also reported decreased anxiety from 18.2% to 13.6% and decreased depression from 13.6% to 2.3% in spinal cord injury patients. The findings of this study demonstrate the effectiveness of the patient counseling service provided by the psychologist that is part of our multidisciplinary team of caregivers at the Siriraj Spinal Unit. Our psychologist regularly accesses the mental health status of both patients and caregivers, and encourages patients to actively participate in the prescribed rehabilitation program. Our staff psychologist also evaluates the mental health status of our patients before discharge, which helps to preventing the development of anxiety and depression in the future.

Regarding the group of patients in this study with unimproved anxiety and depression score, we found no factors that significantly related to the anxiety group, but age and marital status significantly related to the depression group. Earlier study in the US found younger age (25-49 years) to be a risk factor for depression in patients with spinal cord injury. Bombardier, et al. found that the severity of depression increased in 20-29 year-old patients, which is consistent with the finding of this study that a higher proportion of patients younger than 45 years old had unimproved depression score. In contrast, Watanapan, et al. found no significant relationship between age and depression. Similar to the finding of our study, Bombardier, et al. found marital status to be one of the demographic factors that had an effect on the prevalence of depression in spinal cord injury. In the present study, we found a higher incidence of depression among single patients, which was consistent with a 1998 study that found that patients with maladjusted marital status had a higher rate of depression. A study by Tate, et al. reported loss of a spouse significantly associated with depressive symptoms in spinal cord injury patients.

The limitation of this study is the small number of patients, which prevented us from employing multiple logistic regression for multivariable analysis to identify correlations between demographic factors and patients with unimproved anxiety and depression score. Regarding the preceding paragraph, the descriptions in Table 4.

Conclusion

The incidence of anxiety and depression decreased from 8.6% and 7.8% to 4.4% and 5.6%, respectively, compared between admission and discharge. Age and marital status were the only two factors significantly associated with unimproved depression score, while no factors were able to significantly predict unimproved anxiety score. The results of this study support the efficacious role of patient counseling services at the Siriraj Spinal Unit.
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**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with spinal injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) (mean (SD)</td>
<td>44.4 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male/Female</td>
<td>62/28 (68.9/31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Primary school</td>
<td>24 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Secondary school</td>
<td>28 (31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vocational certificate</td>
<td>10 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Undergraduate</td>
<td>21 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Postgraduate</td>
<td>5 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Single</td>
<td>43 (47.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Married</td>
<td>47 (52.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No</td>
<td>6 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Student</td>
<td>7 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Employee</td>
<td>32 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Government officer</td>
<td>8 (8.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Office worker</td>
<td>4 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Business</td>
<td>14 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agriculturist</td>
<td>14 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Retire</td>
<td>5 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of injury</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Traffic accident</td>
<td>42 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Falls</td>
<td>45 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Violence</td>
<td>2 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of impairment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Normal</td>
<td>28 (31.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Paraplegia</td>
<td>31 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tetraplegia</td>
<td>31 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of injury (n=62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Complete</td>
<td>20 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In Complete</td>
<td>42 (46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length of stay (mean (SD)</td>
<td>34.4 (25.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Incidence of anxiety and depression on admission and before discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>On admission (N=90)</th>
<th>Before discharge (N=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADSa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADSd</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HADSa, Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-anxiety subscale; HADSd, Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-depression subscale

**Table 3** Mean anxiety and depression scores on admission and before discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>On admission (N=90)</th>
<th>Before discharge (N=90)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADSa</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADSd</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A P-value<0.05 indicates statistical significance

Abbreviations: HADSa, Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-anxiety subscale; HADSd, Thai Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-depression subscale
**Table 4** Univariable analysis of the demographic factors in patients with unimproved anxiety score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Improved anxiety score</th>
<th>Unimproved anxiety score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N=71)</td>
<td>(N=19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49 (79.0%)</td>
<td>13 (21.0%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22 (78.6%)</td>
<td>6 (21.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 45</td>
<td>31 (73.8%)</td>
<td>11 (26.2%)</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 45</td>
<td>40 (83.3%)</td>
<td>8 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>49 (76.6%)</td>
<td>15 (23.4%)</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree and higher</td>
<td>22 (84.6%)</td>
<td>4 (15.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>33 (76.7%)</td>
<td>10 (23.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>38 (80.9%)</td>
<td>9 (19.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without income/salary</td>
<td>14 (77.8%)</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income/salary</td>
<td>57 (79.2%)</td>
<td>15 (20.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>34 (75.6%)</td>
<td>11 (24.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>34 (81.0%)</td>
<td>8 (19.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>2 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of impairment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>22 (71.0%)</td>
<td>9 (29.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraplegia</td>
<td>25 (80.6%)</td>
<td>6 (19.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>24 (85.7%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>13 (65.0%)</td>
<td>7 (35.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>34 (81.0%)</td>
<td>8 (19.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>24 (85.7%)</td>
<td>4 (14.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of stay (days)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>25 (73.5%)</td>
<td>9 (26.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>46 (82.1%)</td>
<td>10 (17.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value were for the Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test. A P-value <0.05 indicates statistical significance.

**Table 5** Univariable analysis of the demographic factors in patients with unimproved depression score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Improved depression score</th>
<th>Unimproved depression score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(N=61)</td>
<td>(N=29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41 (66.1%)</td>
<td>21 (33.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>20 (71.4%)</td>
<td>8 (28.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 45</td>
<td>22 (52.4%)</td>
<td>20 (47.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 45</td>
<td>39 (81.3%)</td>
<td>9 (18.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Bachelor’s Degree</td>
<td>41 (64.1%)</td>
<td>23 (35.9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s Degree and higher</td>
<td>20 (76.9%)</td>
<td>6 (23.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>24 (55.8%)</td>
<td>19 (44.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>37 (78.7%)</td>
<td>10 (21.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without income/salary</td>
<td>14 (77.8%)</td>
<td>4 (22.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income/salary</td>
<td>47 (65.3%)</td>
<td>25 (34.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved depression score (N=61)</td>
<td>Unimproved depression score (N=29)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>29 (64.4%)</td>
<td>16 (35.6%)</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic accident</td>
<td>30 (71.4%)</td>
<td>12 (28.6%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violence</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td>1 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of impairment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraplegia</td>
<td>17 (54.8%)</td>
<td>14 (45.2%)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetraplegia</td>
<td>25 (80.6%)</td>
<td>6 (19.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
<td>9 (32.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of injury</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>10 (50.0%)</td>
<td>10 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete</td>
<td>32 (76.2%)</td>
<td>10 (23.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
<td>9 (32.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Length of stay (days)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>23 (67.6%)</td>
<td>11 (32.4%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>38 (67.9%)</td>
<td>18 (32.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-value were for the Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test. A P-value<0.05 indicates statistical significance.
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อุบัติการณ์ของภาวะวิตกกังวลและซึมเศร้าในผู้ป่วยบาดเจ็บกระดูกสันหลัง ณ ศูนย์ผู้บาดเจ็บกระดูกสันหลังโรงพยาบาลศิริราช
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ผลการศึกษาผู้ป่วยบาดเจ็บกระดูกสันหลังจำนวน 90 คน อายุเฉลี่ย 44.4 ปี (ส่วนเบี้ยมาตรฐาน 16.7 ปี, ระหว่าง 18-76 ปี) ร้อยละ 68.9 เป็นเพศชาย จากการใช้แบบประเมิน Thai HADS พบว่ามีอุบัติการณ์ของภาวะวิตกกังวลและภาวะซึมเศร้าเมื่อแรกเริ่ม มีค่าเท่ากับ ร้อยละ 8.9 และ 7.8 ตามลำดับ และลดลงเป็นร้อยละ 4.4 และร้อยละ 5.6 ในวันเข้ารักษาพยาบาล คะแนนเฉลี่ยภาวะวิตกกังวลและภาวะซึมเศร้าลดลงเมื่อเวลานานจากวันเข้ารักษาพยาบาล โดยคะแนนเฉลี่ยภาวะวิตกกังวลลดลงจาก 6.1 (SD=3.2) เป็น 3.2 (SD=2.9) และคะแนนเฉลี่ยภาวะซึมเศร้าลดลงจาก 5.1 (SD=3.4) เป็น 3.0 (SD=3.1) ไม่พบว่ามีความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างผู้ป่วยที่มีคะแนนภาวะวิตกกังวลไม่ลดลงกับอายุ เพศ ระดับการศึกษา สถานภาพสมรส อัชฌา สาเหตุการบาดเจ็บ ระยะเวลาพักฟื้น ภาวะพิการ แต่พบความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างผู้ป่วยที่มีคะแนนภาวะซึมเศร้าไม่ลดลงกับอายุ และสถานภาพสมรส (P<0.05) โดยกลุ่มอายุน้อยกว่า 45 ปีและกลุ่มโสดมีคะแนนภาวะซึมเศร้าไม่ลดลงเมื่อเปรียบเทียบกับกลุ่มนั้นเมื่ออายุ 45 ปีขึ้นไปรวมทั้งกลุ่มที่มีสถานภาพสมรส
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A Case Report of Posterior Shoulder Dislocation with Greater Tuberosity Fracture
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**Purpose:** Posterior shoulder dislocation with fracture of greater tuberosity of the humerus is extremely rare. Therefore, the best prescribed treatment for it has not yet been established.

**Methods:** A 45-year-old male, the victim of motorcycle accident, presented with shoulder injury and deformity. Post injury radiographs demonstrated a posterior shoulder dislocation and hollow glenoid with bony fragments. Computed tomographic findings revealed a posterior shoulder dislocation with fracture of greater tuberosity of the humerus. A closed reduction procedure was successfully performed under general anesthesia.

**Results:** Anatomical reduction of shoulder joint and greater tuberosity fragment was achieved with good joint stability.

**Conclusion:** Closed reduction under general anesthesia is an effective treatment for posterior dislocation with fracture of greater tuberosity.

**Keywords:** Posterior shoulder dislocation, greater tuberosity, case report
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**Introduction**

Posterior dislocation of the shoulder is a rare injury and represents approximately 2-5% of all traumatic shoulder dislocations\(^1\,^2\). The overall incidence of posterior fracture-dislocations was 0.6 per 100,000 populations per year. The peak incidence was in middle-aged men, and most injuries were sustained during a seizure or a fall from a height. In most patients, there was a displaced primary fracture of the anatomic neck of the humerus, propagating from the area of an osteochondral fracture of the anterior aspect of the humeral head (a reverse Hill-Sachs lesion). Recommended treatment is open relocation of the humeral head with bone-grafting of humeral head defects, and internal fixation of the fracture. The combination of a greater tuberosity fracture with ipsilateral posterior glenohumeral dislocation is an even rarer event, for which there has been no reported treatment.

Almost of previous case reports of shoulder dislocation involved delayed diagnosed entities, which led to unsatisfactory patient outcome. A high index of suspicion and early diagnosis by adequate clinical radiological examination are required to prevent osteonecrosis of the humeral head, and to ensure satisfactory joint functional recovery.

**Case report**

A 45-year-old male was referred to Khon Kaen Hospital after a road traffic accident. The patient was struck by a car while riding a motorcycle. He complained of pain and deformity over the right shoulder. Physical examination revealed an internally rotated and slightly adducted deformity of the injured limb, with limited motion in any direction. The posterior area of the shoulder was swollen and tender. There was no distal neurovascular deficit or associated injury. Plain radiographs revealed a posterior shoulder fracture dislocation with large bony fragments around the humeral head, which was not clearly identified (Fig.1-A, 1-B).

A U slab was then applied, and the patient was taken to the operating room within 48 hours. Under general anesthesia, closed reduction was successfully performed. The patient was positioned in supine, and the injured arm was then placed in traction, with the elbow pointing caudally. Then, through maintained traction and internal rotation reduction force, the lateral side of the upper arm was pushed laterally using the leverage technique\(^3\), causing the humeral head to detach from the glenoid rim. The shoulder was then rotated externally, and joint reduction was achieved. After reduction, the shoulder’s range of motion was full, with no clinical re-dislocation or instability present. Intraoperative fluoroscopic findings showed anatomic reduction of the shoulder joint and anatomically reduced greater tuberosity (Fig.3-A, 3-B). The shoulder was then immobilized with a sling 2 weeks then start pendulum exercise and progressive range of motion exercise.
Preoperative radiographs show a posterior shoulder dislocation with large bony fragments, in which internal rotation deformity was also demonstrated.

Computed tomographic 3D reconstruction findings demonstrate the more clearly identifiable posterior shoulder dislocation and greater tuberosity fracture.

Intraoperative fluoroscopic findings show an anatomic reduction of the shoulder joint and greater tuberosity fragments.
Fig. 4-A film shoulder AP view, Fig. 4-B film shoulder lateral scapular (Y view)

After eight weeks post-operative radiographic findings demonstrated the maintainable alignment and some union (Fig. 4-A, 4-B). Painless shoulder movement with satisfied range of motion were achieved.

Discussion

Posterior shoulder dislocation is a rare injury. The three most common causes of posterior shoulder dislocation include a fall on the outstretched arm, a direct blow to the anterior aspect of the shoulder during an epileptic seizure, and electrocution or ECT (electroconvulsive therapy) (4-9). Posterior dislocation of the shoulder is four times more common in males, with up to 65% of patients having associated injuries; which include fractures of the humeral neck (18.5%), lesser (14.3%) and greater (7.8%) tuberosity, reverse Hill-Sachs lesion (29%), and rotator cuff tear (2%) (4). Closed reduction under general anesthesia provides anatomical reduction.

Inadequate radiographs and poor physical examination are the main factors of misdiagnosis. The classic physical findings of posterior dislocation include decreased anterior prominence of the humeral head, palpable posterior prominence of the humeral head, palpable prominence of the coracoid, marked limit of abduction, and complete absence of external rotation with a fixed internal rotation deformity (9). Radiographs in AP, scapular Y, and axillary views are necessary; and the Velpeau axillary view is also useful (11). Several signs indicating posterior dislocation of the shoulder within the AP view have been described, including the positive rim sign and absence of the half-moon overlap (12), the light-bulb sign, and the trough line (13). If any suspicion arises, computed tomography (CT) scan can discover a posterior shoulder dislocation, as well as define the extent of the displacement and the osseous abnormalities.

Previous studies have shown that the conventional closed reduction technique was successful in 42% of cases in a series of 12 fresh posterior dislocations. The main factors leading to unsuccessful closed reduction were tight locking of the glenohumeral joint due to a large impression fracture, delayed diagnosis of the dislocation, and anatomical neck fracture (14,15). Soft-tissue lesions of the infraspinatus (16) and/or bicep tendon interposition are some additional factors preventing successful closed reduction. In this case, closed reduction could be successfully performed because of the absence of reverse Hill-Sachs lesion which able to be an obstacle. Furthermore, this case was not a complex posterior shoulder fracture-dislocation by definition from Robinson M et al. (17).

Posterior shoulder dislocations are a common unrecognized injury. Hawkins et al., 1989; explained the delay in diagnosis by an average of one year in 75% of the cases studied (18). Causes of delay in diagnosis included failure of the evaluating physician to include the condition in the differential diagnosis, suboptimal radiographic evaluation and interpretation, and coincidental injuries; such as fractures that can confound the patient's presentation. A delay in diagnosis and treatment of posterior shoulder dislocation or fracture-dislocation has increased risks of non-union, subsequent humeral head collapse, avascular necrosis, post-traumatic arthritis, and muscle wasting.

Conclusion

Posterior shoulder dislocation associated with fracture of the greater tuberosity is a rare injury. Closed reduction under general anesthesia can be one of the choice of treatment.
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ภาวะหัวไหล่หลุดหลังพบได้ไม่มากโดยเฉพาะ ไหล่หลุดหลังที่พบร่วมกับกระดูก greater tuberosity พบน้อย แทนจะไม่พบ รายงานผู้ป่วยส่วนใหญ่ภาวะไหล่หลุดหลังมักได้รับการวินิจฉัยล่าช้าหรือวินิจฉัยพลาด ทำให้ผลการรักษาไม่ดี ดังนั้นจึงเป็นที่จะต้องซักประวัติ ตรวจร่างกาย และอ่านภาพรังสี ให้ถูกต้องเพื่อวินิจฉัยภาวะนี้

ผู้ป่วยชายอายุ 45 ปี ได้รับอุบัติเหตุจากการไปCheckout ปวดไหล่ขวา ตรวจร่างกายพบว่า มีไหล่ขวาหักบริเวณ greater tuberosity และมีกระดูกชิ้นใหญ่ขวางระหว่างข้อไหล่ ทั้งนี้การตรวจภาพรังสี พบมี proximal humerus fracture และมีกระดูกชิ้นใหญ่ขวางข้อไหล่ข้างข้างไหล่ขวา และกระดูกหลุดหลัง จึงได้ส่งเอกซเรย์คอมพิวเตอร์เพื่อยืนยัน พยาบาลมีไหล่หลุดหลังและมี greater tuberosity ที่ไหล่

การรักษาในเบื้องต้นได้ใส่ arm sling และผู้ป่วยเข้าห้องผ่าตัดได้รับการดมยาสลบและได้ดึงไหล่ให้เข้าที่ หลัง ดึงพบว่าข้อไหล่ขัด และภาพรังสีแสดงกระดูก greater tuberosity ข้างที่ และกระดูกหลุดหลัง ภาวะหัวไหล่หลุดหลังที่พบร่วมกับกระดูก greater tuberosity ที่ไหล่ข้างเดียวทัน
Anterior Extrusion of Bone Graft after Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion with development Deep Vein Thrombosis: Case Report and Literature review
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Background: Anterior bone graft extrusion is a very rare complication. Most patients with this type of extrusion are asymptomatic. Only few studies reported with the development of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) due to anterior bone graft extrusion and the detailed about the management.

Propose: The propose of this study is to report a patient with anterior bone graft extrusion with development of DVT without revision spinal surgery.

Materials and Methods: The authors demonstrated case by describing the patient’s history, physical examination, imaging studies and treatment. We also reviewed and discussed related literatures.

Results: The patients with foraminal stenosis and disc extrusion of L4-S1 was performed posterior decompression and posterior lumbar interbody fusion of L4-S1. Postoperatively, the patient developed left thigh pain and swelling. The diagnosis of proximal DVT at left common iliac vein was confirmed by Doppler ultrasound and 3D CT angiography. The patient was treated with intervention; stent insertion at left common iliac vein, balloon dilatation, Inferior vena cava (IVC) filter, thrombectomy, injection of low molecular weight heparin. The patients showed an improvement in the clinical of radicular pain and thigh swelling.

Conclusion: Proximal DVT due to anterior bone graft extrusion is a very rare complication. The most important clinical symptoms are thigh swelling and pain. We must recognize patient’s early symptoms and give an early diagnosis due to proximal DVT could be develop pulmonary thromboembolism and lead to death. Furthermore, the revision spinal surgery is more complex and associated with severe complications. This study described the management of DVT with nonsurgical approach, such as thrombectomy, common iliac vein stent, IVC filter insertion with an application of anticoagulants without going through revision spinal surgery.

Keywords: Deep vein thrombosis, posterior lumbar interbody fusion, complication, anterior bone graft extrusion


Introduction
Lumbar intervertebral graft extrusion is a complication that can occur during or after lumbar disc surgery. Anterior graft extrusion is less frequent but can be found after the surgery. The previous studies¹-⁵ reported the incidence of anterior graft extrusion is 0.6-0.8% and most patients are asymptomatic. A few case reports¹-⁵ described complication and management.

The main propose of this study was to report a case with anterior bone graft extrusion with development of proximal deep vein thrombosis (DVT) similar to previous reports¹-⁵. But these patients had treatment with intervention; stent insertion at left common iliac vein, Inferior vena cava (IVC) filter insertion, thrombectomy, and anticoagulation without revision spinal surgery.

Case report
A 72-year-old male came with right buttock pain radiating down to the right ankle and the right foot was dropped for 2 weeks. The patient had a history of hypertension and old CVA but he was denied history of previous venous thromboembolism and smoking. Physical examination showed decreased sensation of his right foot. The motor power of the right ankle dorsiflexion was grade III and right big toe dorsiflexion was grade IV, while others were grade V. His patellar reflex are 2+ on both sides. His body mass index (BMI) was 25.9 kg/m². Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies demonstrated disc extrusion at L4-5 and L5-S1, with right
extraforaminal extension and severe right foraminal stenosis at L5-S1. After Intubation, the patient was turned to prone position on the operative table. We performed a standard midline posterior approach, identified the bony landmark and level of pathology with intraoperative fluoroscope, insertion of pedicle screw at L4-S1 (Iliad®, Medyssey), confirmed the level and position of screw with fluoroscope, then performed posterior decompressive laminectomy L4-S1 with foraminotomy at right site of level L5-S1. The dura mater is exposed and delicately pushed back with a blunt retractor toward the midline but during traction the dura, an epidural vein was torn, we was controlled bleeding by using bipolar cauteryization and packing gelatin-based sponges. After controlled bleeding, we performed open discectomy at L4-5 and L5-S1, removed disc material and irrigation disc space then used curette to prepared vertebral endplate for fusion, insertion chip autogenous bone graft (1-2 ml per each level) between disc space and insertion titanium PLIF cage size width 11 mm, length 28 mm, 12 degrees lordotic angle (filled with autogenous bone graft and hydroxyapatite) at L4-5 and L5-S1 bilateral by manually. (Figure 1). Intraoperative blood loss was 2000mL. The patient reported an improvement in radicular symptoms after the surgery. On the postoperative day 1-16, he had no other complication, treated by routine postoperative care, rehabilitation program, and discharge to his home on day 13.

On the postoperative day 17, he complained about pain and swelling in the left thigh. His dorsalis pedis artery was palpable on both sides. A blood test for D-dimer was 3.79 µg/mL. The patient was immediately admission and received doppler ultrasound, 3-D computed tomography (CT) angiography and chest CT scanning. The result was acute DVT in left common iliac vein, external iliac vein, common femoral vein, superficial femoral vein and popliteal vein with thrombus in greater saphenous vein. No thrombosis was found in posterior tibial vein and there was no evidence of arterial stenosis or flow disturbance. Anterior bone graft extrusion was found at L4-5 level (Figure 2). Chest CT scanning revealed no evidence of pulmonary embolism. A vascular surgeon was consulted, and we decided to perform a stent insertion at left common iliac vein, balloon dilatation and IVC filter, thrombectomy at left common iliac, external iliac, common femoral and popliteal veins (Figure 3), low molecular weight heparin Enoxaparin 1mg/kg subcutaneously every 12 hours was started immediately and convert to oral warfarin for 3 months. His leg swelling was gradually disappeared in the next 2 weeks.

The 3-D CT angiography was obtained 6 weeks postoperatively and it demonstrated IVC filter insertion stated. The left common iliac, external iliac, common femoral, popliteal veins were decreased in size, but small trapped thrombus was still remained compared to the previous CT. The patient showed markedly improved conditions of left thigh swelling and edema. At the last follow-up (14 months postoperatively), the patient had no pain or swelling in his leg. The 3-D CT angiography showed the remaining anterior extrusion of bone graft, despite radiographic union. (Figure 4)

**Discussion and Literature review**

The PLIF procedure was pioneered in the 1940s by Ralph B. Cloward. The procedure was advocated because it allows posterior decompression of the neural elements while providing stabilization of the affected spinal motion segment. Based on the previous studies (2,3,4), PLIF procedure can be technically difficult. The complications associated with PLIF included dural laceration, wound infection, postoperative radiculopathy, graft migration, pseudarthrosis, implant subsidence, epidural hemorrhage, vascular complication and bone graft donor–site morbidity. The intervertebral graft extrusion can occur frequently in the posterior direction. Anterior graft extrusion is found less frequently, but it may still occur after the procedure. Previous studies (5-7) reported an incidence of anterior graft extrusion between 0.6-0.8% and most patients show no clinical symptom. Some case reports (2-5) described complication and management of anterior graft extrusion. The potential risk factors of this type of graft extrusion include, but not limited to, the perforation of the annulus fibrosus and anterior longitudinal ligament, disc space violation, inadequate fixation, improper endplate preparation or graft placement. Graft migration can also occur in patients with osteomyelitis, discitis, or pseudarthrosis (3,4).

The incidence of DVT after spinal surgery is highly variable ranging from 0.3-31% from the previous studies (8-13). Yang and colleagues (8) reported 15.9% incidence in patients undergoing lumbar interbody fusion. The time to the onset of DVT after spinal surgery is variable from day 2 to 30 after surgery. It is the most common complication and a potentially lethal disease. The risks of DVT are multifactorial, such as advanced age, previous history of DVT, obesity, smoking, impaired mobility, high blood pressure, and high levels of serum D-dimer. In 2015 Wang TY et al. (11) reported an incidence of DVT within 30 days after lumbar surgery was 1.1% (15 from 1346 patients). Multivariate logistic regression determined that previous DVT, postoperative...
urinary tract infection and creatinine level > 2.0 mg/dL were identified as risk factor for DVT.

In this study, the development of DVT may be caused by advanced age, history of hypertension, and postoperative impaired mobility, and the close proximity of the bone graft extrusion at L4-5 level to left common iliac vein. On the postoperative day 17, the patient complained about pain and swelling in the left thigh. It was not common clinical symptoms of DVT of lower extremities which presented by leg or calf edema and pain. The Doppler ultrasound and 3-D CT angiography was acute DVT in left common iliac, external iliac, common femoral, superficial femoral, and popliteal veins with thrombus in greater saphenous vein, it was corresponding with previously called “Proximal DVT”. The proximal DVT is caused by mechanical obstruction of the left common iliac vein by the bone graft extrusion resulting in stasis rather than a primary hypercoagulable state. In 2012, Nyamekye I and Merker L were reported a management strategies for proximal DVT. Anticoagulation alone has no significant thrombolytic activity and has not impact on prevention. They were recommended early thrombus removal for reduced post-thrombotic syndrome, combined pharmomechanical thrombolysis to enhance early thrombus removal.

In 2007, Yoshimoto H. et al. reported a case of DVT after posterior lumbar interbody fusion(PLIF). The thrombosis was caused by migrated bone graft fragment from the interbody fusion site at L5/S1, which occluded the left common iliac vein at the bifurcation. The patient became symptomatic on the postoperative day 2 and underwent a revision surgery and an excision of the bone graft by a retroperitoneal approach. Puwar et al. was reported a case L3/4 spondylodiscitis treated with PLIF procedure. Intraoperative was found a cage migration in to Lt. pulmonary artery but the patient was asymptomatic. The author was compared this case report with previous studies in table 1.

The revision surgeries are more complicated and associated with a higher risk of complications. Nguyen HV. et al. reported a series of 14 patients, 8 of whom had pseudarthrosis or infection with cage migration. Of all patients, 57% of patients had vascular complications, and 1 patient died while undergoing a revision surgery using either transperitoneal or retroperitoneal approach. Fantini GA. et al. reported a retrospective case series of 345 operations in 338 patients. The incidence of major vascular injury during anterior lumbar surgery is 2.9% (10 of 345 operations). Risk factors of such injury are previous osteomyelitis, discogenic infection, spondylolisthesis grade II, large anterior osteophyte, transitional lumbosacral vertebra, and anterior migration of interbody device. Allen and colleagues also reported an incidence of vascular injury during anterior exposure of the spine is at 11% (54 of 480 patients) and the most common site of the injury is at L4-5 level (83%). Gumbs AA. et al. reported a in a retrospective case series of 218 patients whom had open anterior approach during spine procedure and 9 patients had revision procedures. The 5 of 9 patients of revision procedure also had complication. Early complications occurred in 4 patients (44%), and including dural tear, median nerve dysesthesia, left common femoral nerve palsy, prolonged postoperative ileus and retrograde ejaculation. Late complications occurred in one patient and consisting of a DVT and urinary tract infection. Ralph J. Mobbs et al. reported a study of 227 patients who had approach-related complication of anterior lumbar interbody fusion. An intraoperative vascular injury requiring primary repair with suturing occurred in 15 patients (6.6%), 3 patients (1.3%) had incisional hernia requiring revision surgery, 7 patients (3.1%) had prolonged ileus (>7 days) managed conservatively, 4 patients had retrograde ejaculation, 15 patients (6.6%) had sympathetic, 5 patients (2.2%) had superficial wound infection treated with oral antibiotics, but there were no deep wound infections requiring reoperation or intravenous therapy. Dominique A. Rothenfluh et al. reported success-related complications in anterior lumbar surgery in 31 patients over 60 years-old. The overall complication was 29% which included 4 vascular injuries and 1 pulmonary embolism. The vascular complication rate was 13% (4 in 31 patients) with 2 cases of arterial and 2 cases of venous injuries requiring repair.

Because of the concerns over risks and complications from revision surgery reported in previous studies. We decided to treatment our patient without revision surgery. We reported a patient with anterior bone graft extrusion and the development of proximal DVT. The patient had treatment with intervention; stent insertion at left common iliac vein, IVC filter insertion, thrombectomy and anticoagulation without any risk of the revision spinal surgery.

Conclusion
Anterior bone graft extrusion could be result in proximal DVT by the compression of the left common iliac vein. Early detection, such as swelling and pain of the thigh region is important for preventing pulmonary thromboembolism, which occurs frequently in proximal DVT.

In patient with proximal DVT, Nonsurgical approach such as stent insertion, IVC filter insertion and thrombectomy with an application of anticoagulants, may be considered in such cases without going through revision spinal surgery.
Fig. 1 Anteroposterior (left) and lateral (right) radiographs taken immediately after PLIF surgery reveals proper placement of pedicle screws and intervertebral cage, but shows some bone graft anterior to L4-5 disc space (white arrow).

Fig. 2 The 3-D computed tomography (CT) angiography at L4-5 on postoperative day 17
A. Axial view reveals anterior extrusion of bone graft at L4-5 disc space level with compression left common iliac vein (white arrow), but intact left common iliac artery (white arrow head).
B. Coronal view reveals anterior extrusion of bone graft with compression left common iliac vein (white arrow), but intact aorta (black arrow), and right common iliac vein (white arrow head).
C. Sagittal view reveals anterior extrusion of bone graft at L4-5 disc space level. (white arrow)
Fig. 3 Insertion stent at left common iliac vein (white arrow) and IVC filter (white arrow head).

Fig. 4 The 3-D computed tomography (CT) angiography obtained at 14 months postoperative
A. Coronal view reveal bone graft extrusion (white arrow), stent at left common iliac vein (black arrow), IVC filter (black arrow head) and intact right common iliac vein (white arrow head).
B. Sagittal view reveals the remaining of anterior extrusion of bone graft at L4-5 disc space (white arrow head) with stent at left common iliac vein (white arrow), and radiographic union.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Preoperative Diagnosis</th>
<th>Index surgery</th>
<th>Level of extrusion</th>
<th>Compression site</th>
<th>Onset of complication</th>
<th>Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bingo H</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Lumbar Disc surgery</td>
<td>L4-L5 (n=12) L5-S1 (n=1)</td>
<td>Lt. common iliac artery (n=6) Pseudoaneurysm (n=4) AVF (n=1) Aortic bifurcation (n=1) IVC (n=1)</td>
<td>Immediate to 8 months</td>
<td>Dacron graft (n=4) Primary suture (n=4) Saphenous vein Graft (n=1) Resection&amp;end to anastomosis (n=4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshimoto H</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Isthmic spondylolisthesis L5-S1</td>
<td>PLIF</td>
<td>L5-S1</td>
<td>Lt. common iliac vein</td>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>Excision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawar UM</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Spondylodiscitis L3-L4</td>
<td>TLIF</td>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>Lt. pulmonary artery</td>
<td>Intraoperative Observe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Spinal stenosis L4-S1</td>
<td>PLIF</td>
<td>L4-L5</td>
<td>Lt common iliac vein</td>
<td>Day 17</td>
<td>Thrombectomy, stent, IVC filter, Anticoagulant drug</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable; PLIF, posterior lumbar interbody fusion; TLIF, transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion

Table 1 Compared the details of this case with previous case reports.
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ผลการศึกษา: ผู้ป่วยชาย อายุ 72 ปี มีอาการของโรคโพรงกระดูกสันหลังตีบแคบ และหมอนรองกระดูกรัดบั้มออกลื่น ทำ การผ่าตัด Posterior decompression and posterior lumbar interbody fusion of L4-S1 หลังการผ่าตัดวันที่ 17 มีอาการของภาวะหลอดเลือดดำที่ขาซ้ายอุดตัน ตรวจ Doppler ultrasound และ 3D CT angiography พบการกดทับของ left common iliac vein เนื่องจากกระดูกที่มักจากการผ่า posterior lumbar interbody fusion ได้รับการรักษาโดยการใส่ stent ที่ left common iliac vein, ทำ balloon dilatation, ใส่ Inferior vena cava (IVC) filter, thrombectomy และให้ยา intravenous low molecular weight heparin ภายหลังการรักษาอาการทุเลา โดยไม่ต้องผ่าตัดซ้ำที่กระดูกหลัง

สรุป: ผู้ป่วยที่มีภาวะหลอดเลือดดำที่ขาอุดตัน เนื่องจากการกดทับของกระดูกหลังการผ่าตัด Posterior lumbar interbody fusion สามารถรักษาโดยการใส่ stent, IVC filter, thrombectomy และให้ยา intravenous low molecular weight heparin โดยไม่ต้องผ่าตัดซ้ำที่กระดูกหลังซึ่งเป็นการผ่าตัดที่มีความเสี่ยงสูง
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- เรียงเรียง (Text Formatting) ให้สอดคล้องตามตัวอย่างที่แนบมาต่อไปนี้ บทนำ (introduction), วิธีการศึกษา (methods), ผลการศึกษา (results), วิจารณ์ (discussion), บทสรุป (acknowledgements), เอกสารอ้างอิง (references), ตารางและรูปภาพประกอบ (tables and figures) โดยต้องปฏิบัติตามแบบตัวอย่าง
  (1) ใช้ตัวพิมพ์มาตรฐาน เช่นภาษาอังกฤษ ใช้ตัวอักษร “Times Roman” ขนาด 10 point ภาษาไทยใช้ตัวอักษร
    “Angsana New” ขนาด 12 point
  (2) ให้พิมพ์ข้อความสําคัญด้วยตัวอักษร
  (3) ตั้งค่าช่องว่างโดยคีย์ในมิติ
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ไม่ใช้ "field functions"

ใช้ปุ่ม "Tab" เมื่อขึ้นย่อหน้าต่อไป

เลือกคำสั่งตาราง (Table) เมื่อต้องการฟังก์ชันตาราง

หากใช้โปรแกรม "Microsoft Word 2007" ให้ใช้โปรแกรม "Microsoft equation editor" หรือโปรแกรม "Math Type"

ส่งต้นฉบับในรูปของแฟ้มข้อมูล โดยบันทึกข้อมูลเป็นไฟล์ "doc" และท้ายบันทึ้กเป็นไฟล์ "docx"

- หัวข้อ (headings) ไม่ควรมีขนาดต่างๆมากกว่า 3 ระดับ
- คำย่อ (abbreviations) จะต้องมีคำเต็มเมื่อปรากฏเป็นครั้งแรกในบทความ หลังจากนั้นสามารถใช้คำย่อเหล่านั้นได้ตามปกติ

- เริ่อราว (footnotes) ต้องมีการอ้างอิงซ้อนที่ผู้เขียนสามารถแสดงเนื้อหาผู้ตอบผลของหน้า โดยใส่หมายเลขกับไว้ที่ต้นข้อความที่ต้องการเครื่องหมายแสดงความ ต้องแสดงว่าตรงข้ามกับเนื้อหาที่ต้องการเครื่องหมายแสดงความ ต้องแสดงว่าตรงข้ามกับเนื้อหาที่ต้องการเครื่องหมายแสดงความ ต้องแสดงว่าตรงข้ามกับเนื้อหาที่ต้องการเครื่องหมายแสดงความ ต้องแสดงว่าตรงข้ามกับเนื้อหาที่ต้องการเครื่องหมายแสดงความ

- ตาราง (tables)
  1. ให้เขียนหมายเลขตารางเป็นเลข阿拉伯
  2. ให้เรียงลำดับของตารางอย่างต่อเนื่องกันจาก 1, 2, 3, ....
  3. การอธิบายผลในตารางต้องไม่ซ้ำซ้อนกันและมีความกระชับเรียวยิ่ง และมีคำอธิบายที่ชัดเจนไว้เหนือตาราง
  4. เชิงอรรถ (footnotes) ของตารางจะเขียนไว้ใต้ตารางหรือใช้เครื่องหมายดอกจัน (*) เพื่อแสดงความหมายของคำหรือข้อมูลทางสถิติ

- กิตติกรรมประกาศ (acknowledgements) เป็นการแสดงความขอบคุณแก่ผู้ที่ช่วยเหลือในการทำวิจัย หรือผู้สนับสนุนทุนการวิจัย เป็นต้น โดยจะเขียนไว้ก่อนเอกสารอ้างอิงและควรเขียนชื่อสถาบันที่ให้การสนับสนุนทุนการวิจัย โดยใช้ชื่อเต็ม

- รูปภาพ (figures)
  1. ให้ใช้โปรแกรมกราฟฟิกคอมพิวเตอร์ในการวาดรูป
  2. รูปภาพที่เป็นลายเส้นควรใช้รูปแบบ EPS ในการวาดสีรูปภาพและรูปภาพที่เป็นลายเส้นควรใช้รูปแบบ TIFF ในการวาดสีรูปภาพ
  3. รูปภาพทุกรูปจะต้องมีหมายเลขและคำบรรยายภาพกันไว้ใต้ภาพ โดยใช้ชื่อรูปภาพเป็น "Fig" ตามด้วยลำดับที่ของรูปภาพ เช่น "Fig.1" เป็นต้น

- เอกสารอ้างอิง (references) เรียงลำดับเอกสารอ้างอิงตามเอกสารอ้างอิงทั้งหมดบทความ และให้ตาม Vancouver style การอ้างอิงชื่อผู้เขียนมากกว่า 6 คน ให้ใช้ชื่อ 6 คนแรก และตามด้วย et al. สำหรับการเขียนเอกสารอ้างอิงทั้งหมดบทความ ให้ใช้ต้นฉบับของ "Index Medicus" โดยศึกษาได้ในเว็บไซต์ http://www.medscape.com/home/search/indexMedicus/IndexMedicus-A.html

กรุณาลงนามในแบบฟอร์มการส่งบทความเพื่อขอตีพิมพ์ เพื่อแสดงว่าผู้เขียนได้อ่านเกณฑ์การเขียนบทความทั้งหมด

ตัวอย่างการเขียนเอกสารอ้างอิง (references) กรุณาอ้างหน้า " Instruction to authors "
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